

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alcassedan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|----------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/533,730 | 05/03/2005 | Nicolaas Lambert | NL 021075 | 6084 | |
| 24737 PHILIPS INTE | 7590 01/13/201 ELLECTUAL PROPER | EXAM | EXAMINER | | |
| P.O. BOX 3001 | | | SHEN, KEZHEN | | |
| BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| | | 2627 | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | | 01/13/2010 | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|-----------------|----------------|--|--|
| 10/533,730 | LAMBERT ET AL. | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| Kezhen Shen | 2627 | | |

| | Kezhen Shen | 2627 | | | | | |
|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the o | orrespondence add | ress | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 18 December 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. | | | | | | | |
| The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, afficiavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41-31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: | | | | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing | date of the final rejection. | | | | | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ar no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I | iter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE | date of the final rejection | n. | | | | |
| MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07 (f Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of | | 26(a) and the appropriate | o ovtonoion foo | | | | |
| Chelistons of miler flay by a Golamorous of a Virginia (1946). The description have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any serimed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origi | of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic | ate extension fee e action; or (2) as | | | | |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi | sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of the | | | | | |
| <u>AMENDMENTS</u> | | | | | | | |
| The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further core (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below | sideration and/or search (see NOT v); | E below); | | | | | |
| (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or | | | ne issues for | | | | |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a c | | ected claims. | | | | | |
| NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1 | | | TOL 004) | | | | |
| The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | | mpliant Amendment (i | -1 OL-324). | | | | |
| Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be alled | | imals filed amandman | t concellna the | | | | |
| non-allowable claim(s). | owabie ii submitted in a separate, t | imely liled amendmen | it canceling the | | | | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: | | be entered and an ex | planation of | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1.2,5 and 12-30. | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: 1,2,3 and 12-30. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea | l and/or appellant fails | to provide a | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | n of the status of the claims after er | ntry is below or attache | ed. | | | | |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but | does NOT place the application in | condition for allowand | ce because: | | | | |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (13. Other: | PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). | | | | | | |
| /Joseph H. Feild/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2627 | | | | | | | |

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amendments to claims 1, and 16 would change the scope of the claims and would therefore require a new search to determine patentability.